

Kismet Mailing List Archive

[<- time ->] [<- author ->] | [Threads] [Home] [eMsg] [eThread]
[eSubscribe] [eFAQ] *Subject:* RE: [KISMET] ANNOUNCE:
WorldWide WarDrive 3/Church of WiFi Software Available
From: "Jason Tedesco" <jtedesco@request.com.au>
Date: Mon, 3 Mar 2003 08:02:38 +1100

> The net result is that there are an increasing number of
> aps being
> detected that are not secure. This is obviously a concern
> to security
> professionals. We would hope that with all of the
> publicity/awareness
> surrounding wardriving, people would take it upon
> themselves to secure
> their aps.
>

I am just wondering how you calculated that the AP's with no
WEP are insecure? There
are many AP's in my own area that are secure with WEP
disabled, as they are a community
nodes open for use by anyone. Are you 100% certain that the
second WWWD which yielded
~25,000 aps didn't include any community or deliberately
open nodes?

...Jason

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ffrf [mailto:ffrf@worldwidewardrive.org]
> Sent: Monday, 3 March 2003 03:57
> To: tim@birdsnest.maths.tcd.ie
> Cc: ffrf@worldwidewardrive.org;
wireless@kismetwireless.net
> Subject: Re: [KISMET] ANNOUNCE: WorldWide WarDrive
3/Church of WiFi
> Software Available
>
>
> The first WWWD yielded ~10,000 aps with only 30% of those
using WEP.
> The second WWWD yielded ~25,000 aps with only 28% of those
using WEP.
>
> I think what roamer was implying is that the number of
detected aps is
> increasing, but the relative security of those aps is
> remaining steady, or
> even decreasing.

>
> The net result is that there are an increasing number of
> aps being
> detected that are not secure. This is obviously a concern
> to security
> professionals. We would hope that with all of the
> publicity/awareness
> surrounding wardriving, people would take it upon
> themselves to secure
> their aps.
>
> -ffrf
>
>
> > On Saturday 01 March 2003 20:07, ffrf wrote:
> >
> >> The first WWWD identified nearly 10,000 access points
> This
> number more
> >> than doubled for the second WWWD held only 6 weeks
> later to nearly
> >> 25,000. This is a testament to both the growing use of
> WiFi and the
> >> concern by security professionals to ensure that these
> access points
> >> are locked down.
> >
> > Question from a complete ignoramus in these matters:
> >
> > How does this apparent increase in WiFi usage
> > (which seems to me much more likely to be due
> > to improvement in surveillance)
> > say anything about the "concern of security
> professionals" ?
> >
> > --
> > Timothy Murphy
> > e-mail: tim@birdsnest.maths.tcd.ie
> > tel: +353-86-233 6090
> > s-mail: School of Mathematics, Trinity College, Dublin
> 2, Ireland
>
>
>
>
>